Chapter 3

INDEX CRIME ANALYSIS
**Definition**

Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, as defined in the UCR program, is the willful killing of one human being by another.

This offense category includes any death resulting from a fight, argument, quarrel, assault or commission of a crime. Attempted murder and assaults with the intent to kill are not counted as murder, but are included in UCR as aggravated assaults. Suicides, accidental deaths, and justifiable homicides are also excluded from the murder classification.

The classification of this offense, as well as for all Index Crimes, is based solely on police investigation and not upon determinations by courts, medical examiners, coroners, juries, or other judicial bodies.

**Analysis**

**Volume**

The reported number of murders committed in Texas in 2006 was 1,384. This represented a 1.5 percent decrease in the number of murders when compared to 2005. More persons were murdered in Texas in August, while the fewest were killed during February. Property loss during the commission of the crime of murder amounted to $275,906.

**Rate**

The murder rate for Texas in 2006 was 5.9 murders for every 100,000 persons, down 3.3 percent from 2005.

**Clearance Rate**

Texas law enforcement agencies continue to be successful in solving a greater percentage of murders than any other Index Crime. In 2006, 73 percent of all murders were cleared by arrest or exceptional means.

**Nature**

Of the 1,384 murders in 2006, 70 percent were committed by the use of firearms. Knives or cutting instruments were the weapons of choice in 11 percent of the reported cases. The use of strong-arm weapons (hands, feet and fists) accounted for 6 percent of the murders. Blunt objects were
utilized in 5 percent of the murders and in the remaining 8 percent, the murder weapon of choice was listed as unknown or other, which includes poison, fire, drugs, drowning, strangulation and asphyxiation.

Of the murders in which firearms were the instrument, handguns accounted for 75 percent of the weapons (or 52 percent of all murders). Shotguns were employed in 8 percent of the firearm murders, rifles were used in 5 percent and firearms whose type was not stated accounted for the remaining 12 percent.

Of the murderers in which firearms were the instrument, 90 percent were male; 4 percent were age 16 and under, 61 percent were White; 38 percent were Black and the remainder were other races; 64 percent were not Hispanic; and 36 percent were Hispanic.

Statistics on murder circumstances, victims, and victim/offender relationships on the next page include justifiable homicides. Justifiable homicide is the killing of a felon by a peace officer in the line of duty or the killing (during the commission of a felony) of a felon by a private citizen. In 2006, there were 57 justifiable homicides, of which, 34 were felons killed by private citizens, and 23 were felons killed by police.

Supplementary homicide information on murder circumstances is collected in two broad categories: felony type and non-felony type. While, in Texas, all murders are felonies, felony type circumstances refer to murders which occur in conjunction with the commission of another crime.
Law enforcement agencies participating in UCR provide additional homicide information so that an in-depth analysis of murder is possible. Through the Supplemental Homicide Reporting system, information is provided that identifies the age, sex, race and ethnic origin of both victims and offenders; the relationship of the victim to the offender; the murder weapon; and the circumstances of the offense.

Based on the information relating to murder victims it can be determined that 77 percent of murder victims, in 2006, were male and 23 percent were female. The age group with the greatest number of murder victims for males and females is the 20 to 24 age group.

Of the victims whose race was known, 61 percent were White, 36 percent were Black, and the remainder were Asian/Pacific Islander and American Indian/Alaskan natives. For White and Black victims, the most common age group was the 20 to 24 age bracket. Of the murder victims whose ethnicity was known, 61 percent were not Hispanic and 39 percent were Hispanic.
Murder Victims by Age and Sex

Murder Offenders by Age and Sex
**DEFINITION**

Rape, as defined in the Uniform Crime Reporting program, is the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will. Statistics reported in this crime category include assaults to commit forcible rape, however, statutory rape (rape against a female under the age of consent) and sexual assaults on males are excluded.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The estimated number of rapes committed in Texas in 2006 was 8,406. This represented a decrease of 1.2 percent in the number of rapes when compared with 2005. More rapes were committed in Texas in May than in any other month, while the fewest rapes were committed during February. Property loss during the commission of rape amounted to $271,125.

**Rate**

The rape rate for Texas in 2006 was 35.8 rapes for every 100,000 persons. This is a decrease of 3.8 percent from 2005.
Clearance Rate

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies continue to be successful in clearing or solving by arrest 44 percent of all rapes that were reported. With the attempts to commit forcible rape, 43 percent were cleared.

Nature

Forcible rape differs from other violent crimes because, in many cases, the victim is hesitant to report the offense to police. The rigors of court procedures, embarrassment and fear of any accompanying stigma exert a deterrent effect upon the victim’s willingness to contact the police. The presence of prior relationship between the victim and offender may make the determination of force difficult to establish, while the usual clandestine nature of this crime presents a problem in verification. As attitudes towards the reporting of rape change, trends in the number of reported offenses can be expected to change accordingly.

Of all reported forcible rapes during 2006, 8 percent were attempts to commit forcible rape and 92 percent were rapes by force.

Persons Arrested

When compared with 2005, the number of persons arrested for forcible rape decreased 0.2 percent to 2,257. Of the forcible rape arrestees in 2006, 11 percent were juveniles (16 and under) and the remainder were adults; 98 percent were male; 74 percent were White, 25 percent were Black; and the remainder were other races; 64 percent were not Hispanic and 36 percent were Hispanic. The 20 to 24 age group had the highest number of arrests. Because, by definition, the crime of rape is limited to the carnal knowledge of a female by a male, the overwhelming majority of persons arrested for this crime are male. The small number of females arrested for rape, 34 persons in 2006, are generally arrested as accomplices.
**DEFINITION**

Robbery, in the Uniform Crime Reporting program, is defined as the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person or persons by force, threat of force or violence, or by putting the victim in fear.

Robbery is a violent crime that frequently results in injury to the victim. Included in this category are assaults to commit robbery and attempted robberies.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The estimated number of robberies committed in Texas in 2006 was 37,271. This represented a 4.2 percent increase in the number of robberies when compared with 2005. More robberies were committed in Texas in July than in any other month, while the fewest robberies were committed during the month of February.

**Rate**

The robbery rate for Texas in 2006 was 158.5 robberies for every 100,000 persons. The change in the rate of robbery from 2005 was an increase of 1.3 percent.

**Clearance Rate**

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 25 percent of all robberies that were reported. Of the robberies by type of weapon used, strong-armed robberies experienced the highest rate of clearance at 30 percent, while robbery by firearm was the classification with the lowest rate of clearance at 19 percent.

**Nature**

In 2006, property loss during the commission of robberies amounted to $66,091,978. The state total was a 8.3 percent increase from the property loss experienced in 2005. The value of property stolen during robberies averaged $1,774 per incident. Average dollar losses ranged from $1051...
taken during robberies of convenience stores to $3,988 per bank robbery. The impact of this violent crime cannot be measured in terms of monetary loss alone. While the object of robbery is money or property, the crime involves force or threat of force and many victims suffer serious personal injury.

Robberies on streets or highways accounted for 32 percent of the offenses in this category. Robberies of commercial and financial establishments accounted for 13 percent of the total and robberies occurring at residences, 24 percent. The remainder were convenience store and gas stations (9 percent) and miscellaneous types (22 percent).

Of all reported robberies in 2006, 46 percent were committed with the use of firearms. Strong-arm tactics accounted for 35 percent, knives or cutting instruments were used in 10 percent and other dangerous weapons made up the remainder.

**Persons Arrested**

Statewide arrests for robbery in 2006 amounted to 7,618 persons. When compared with 2005, the number of persons arrested for robbery increased 13.1 percent. Of the robbery arrestees in 2006, 13 percent were juveniles with the remainder being adults; 87 percent were male; 56 percent were White; 44 percent were Black; 67 percent were not Hispanic and 33 percent were Hispanic. The age group reflecting the largest number of robbery arrestees was the 15-to-19-year-old bracket.
**AGGRAVATED ASSAULT**

**DEFINITION**

Aggravated Assault is defined in the Uniform Crime Reporting program, as an unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault is usually accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Statistics for aggravated assault include attempts to commit aggravated assault.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The estimated number of aggravated assaults committed in Texas, in 2006, was 74,622. This represented a decrease of 1.0 percent when compared to 2005. More aggravated assaults were committed in Texas in July than in any other month, while the fewest aggravated assaults were committed during February.

**Rate**

The aggravated assault rate for Texas in 2006 was 317.4 aggravated assaults for every 100,000 persons. The change in the rate of aggravated assault from 2005 was a decrease of 3.8 percent.

**Nature**

Of all reported aggravated assaults in 2006, 24 percent were committed with the use of firearms. Other dangerous weapons accounted for 35 percent of the aggravated assaults. Strong-arm weapons (hands, feet, fist, etc.) accounted for another 19 percent and knives or cutting instruments made up the remaining 22 percent.

The use or attempted use of a dangerous weapon in an assault or the serious injury inflicted by hands, fists or feet is what separates this index offense from assaults categorized as “simple assaults”. Texans reported 326,422 simple assaults in 2006.
Clearance Rate

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 49 percent of all aggravated assaults that were reported. Of the aggravated assaults by type of weapon used, knife or cutting instrument assaults experienced the highest rate of clearance (58 percent), while aggravated assault by firearm showed the lowest rate of clearance (35 percent).

Persons Arrested

Texas law enforcement agencies arrested 24,059 persons for aggravated assault in 2006. When compared with 2005, the number of aggravated assault arrests increased 2.3 percent. Of the aggravated assault arrestees in 2006: 11 percent were juveniles (16 and under); 79 percent were male; 70 percent were White; 30 percent were Black; 33 percent were Hispanic and 67 percent were not Hispanic. The age group with the highest number of aggravated assault arrestees was the 20-to-24-year-old bracket.
**DEFINITION**

Burglary, for Uniform Crime Reporting purposes, is the unlawful entry of a structure with the intent to commit a felony or a theft. While the use of force to gain entry is not required to classify an offense as burglary, attempted forcible entries to commit burglary are counted in this crime’s statistics.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The estimated number of burglaries committed in Texas in 2006 was 215,754. This number represents a 1.8 percent decrease when compared with the number of burglaries in 2005. More burglaries were committed in July than in any other month. The month with the fewest burglaries was February.

**Rate**

The 2006 burglary rate for Texas was 917.8 for every 100,000 persons. The change in the burglary rate from 2005 was a decrease of 4.5 percent.

**Nature**

Of all reported burglaries in 2006, 66 percent occurred at residences. Forcible entry accounted for 64 percent of all burglaries, while 32 percent were unlawful entries without force and the remainder were forcible entry attempts. Of the offenses for which the time of occurrence was known, 57 percent were during the day with 43 percent during the night, and the value lost was greater during the day (61 percent) than at night (39 percent).
Value

In 2006, Texas burglary victims suffered losses totaling $440,042,021. This total represented an increase of 14.4 percent when compared to 2005 total burglary losses. The average dollar loss per burglary was $2,043. The average loss for residential offenses was $1,951, while for non-residential property, it was $2,218.

Clearance Rate

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 11 percent of all burglaries reported. Burglaries classified as unlawful entry had the highest rate of clearance (12 percent). Forcible entry Burglary and attempted forcible entry had the lower clearance rates (10 percent).

Persons Arrested

In 2006, 19,619 arrests for burglary were made by Texas law enforcement agencies. When compared to 2005, the number of persons arrested for burglary increased 2.9 percent. Of the burglary arrestees, 23 percent were juveniles (16 and under) with the remainder being adults; 89 percent were male; 74 percent were White; 26 percent were Black; 66 percent were not Hispanic and 34 percent were Hispanic. The age group with the largest number of burglary arrestees was the 15-to-19 year-old group.
Larceny-Theft

**DEFINITION**

Larceny-Theft, in the Uniform Crime Reporting program, is the unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession or constructive possession of another. Larceny-Theft includes crimes such as shoplifting, pocket-picking, purse-snatching, thefts from motor vehicles, thefts of motor vehicle parts and accessories, bicycle thefts, and other things of value in which no use of force, violence or fraud occurs.

This crime classification does not include embezzlement, ‘con’ games, forgery, and the passing of worthless checks. Motor vehicle theft is not included in this category as it is a separate index crime offense.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The estimated number of thefts committed in Texas in 2006 was 648,083, making this the largest crime category of the Index Crimes. The volume of theft in 2006 decreased 4.1 percent from 2005. More thefts were committed in Texas in May than in any other month, while the fewest thefts were committed during February. It is reasonable to expect that many offenses in this category, particularly where the value of goods stolen is small, are never reported to police agencies.

**Rate**

The 2006 theft rate for Texas was 2,756.9 thefts for every 100,000 persons. The change in the theft rate from 2005 was a decrease of 6.8 percent.
Nature

In 2006, theft victims suffered losses totaling $676,552,743. This total represents an increase of 14.1 percent when compared with 2005 total theft losses. The average dollar loss per theft was $1044, up from $877 in 2005. Thefts in the ‘under $50’ category accounted for 31 percent of all reported thefts (but only 1 percent of the value lost), while thefts of values greater than $200 accounted for 46 percent of the total (and 96 percent of the total value lost). The remainder of the thefts were between $50 and $200—these thefts accounted for 23 percent of the volume (and 3 percent of the value lost).

For data collection, larceny-theft is divided into nine categories: pocket-picking, purse-snatching, shoplifting, thefts from motor vehicles, theft of motor vehicle parts, theft of bicycles, theft from buildings, theft from coin-operated machines, and all other thefts (except motor vehicles). Of the nine theft categories, the highest average theft was all other thefts which yielded an average $1,644 per reported occurrence. Thefts from motor vehicles averaged $885 per report, while the average purse-snatching resulted in a $732 loss and the average loss to victims of pocket-picking was $412. The lowest average value lost to theft was shoplifting with an average of $174.

Clearance Rate

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 16 percent of all reported thefts. As with other non-violent property crimes, theft is primarily a crime of opportunity. The factors of opportunity and stealth work in the favor of perpetrators and against police detection, thereby reducing available solutions for this offense.

Persons Arrested

Texas law enforcement agencies made 89,921 larceny-theft arrests in 2006. When compared with 2005, the number of persons arrested for theft decreased 7.7 percent. Of the theft arrestees, 18 percent were juveniles (16 and under); 64 percent were male; 73 percent were White; 26 percent were Black and the remainder were other races; 66 percent were not Hispanic and 34 percent were Hispanic. The age group with the largest number of theft arrestees was the 15-to-19 year-old group.

Theft Arreestees by Age and Sex

Persons arrested by age and sex.

### Theft Type - Offenses & Value Stolen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theft Type</th>
<th>Offenses</th>
<th>Value Lost in Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pocket-Picking</td>
<td>2,557</td>
<td>1,054,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purse Snatching</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>1,915,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoplifting</td>
<td>74,519</td>
<td>12,953,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>188,946</td>
<td>167,285,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Parts</td>
<td>66,168</td>
<td>46,813,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycles</td>
<td>13,853</td>
<td>3,144,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Buildings</td>
<td>50,388</td>
<td>40,758,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Coin-Op Machines</td>
<td>4,805</td>
<td>1,005,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td>244,232</td>
<td>401,621,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>648,083</td>
<td>676,552,743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN IN THEFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Theft</th>
<th>Estimated Offenses</th>
<th>Property Value</th>
<th>Average Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pocket-Picking</td>
<td>2,557</td>
<td>1,054,008</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purse-Snatching</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>1,915,454</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoplifting</td>
<td>74,519</td>
<td>12,953,464</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft from Motor Vehicles</td>
<td>188,946</td>
<td>167,285,589</td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Parts</td>
<td>66,168</td>
<td>46,813,954</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycles</td>
<td>13,853</td>
<td>3,144,771</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft from Buildings</td>
<td>50,388</td>
<td>40,758,709</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft from Coin-Op Machines</td>
<td>4,805</td>
<td>1,005,731</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td>244,232</td>
<td>401,621,063</td>
<td>1,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>648,083</td>
<td>676,552,743</td>
<td>1,044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motor Vehicle Theft

DEFINITION

Motor Vehicle Theft, for UCR purposes, is the theft or attempted theft of a motor vehicle. A motor vehicle is defined as a self-propelled vehicle that travels on the surface but not on rails. Specifically excluded from this category are motor boats, construction equipment, airplanes, and farming equipment.

ANALYSIS

Volume

The estimated number of motor vehicle thefts committed in Texas in 2006 was 95,750. The volume of motor vehicle theft increased 2.4 percent when compared with 2005. More motor vehicle thefts were committed in July than in any other month, while the fewest motor vehicle thefts were committed during February.

Rate

The 2006 motor vehicle theft rate for Texas was 407.3 motor vehicle thefts for every 100,000 persons. The change in the motor vehicle theft rate from 2005 was a decrease of 0.4 percent.

Nature

In 2006, motor vehicle theft victims suffered losses totaling $900,302,072. This total value loss represents an increase of 15.0 percent when compared to 2005 total motor vehicle theft losses. The average dollar loss per motor vehicle theft was $9,409, up from $8,551 in 2005. Of the types of motor vehicles that are reported to be stolen, 60 percent are automobiles, 30 percent are trucks and buses, and the remainder are classed as ‘other vehicles.’ The ‘other vehicles’ classification includes all other motor vehicles, such as snowmobiles, motorcycles, motor scooters, trail bikes, mopeds, golf carts and the like.
Clearance Rate

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 14 percent of all motor vehicle thefts that were reported. Persons under 18 years of age accounted for 12 percent of the motor vehicle thefts that were cleared.

Of the three vehicle types for which information is collected, automobiles show the highest rate of clearance at 16 percent. Thefts of trucks and buses were cleared at a 11 percent rate, and the ‘other vehicle’ classification shows a 10 percent clearance rate.

Recoveries

In 2006, 70 percent of the motor vehicles reported stolen were recovered. Out of the recovered vehicles, 76 percent were stolen locally and recovered locally. The remaining 24 percent were stolen locally and recovered by another jurisdiction.

Persons Arrested

In 2006, Texas law enforcement agencies arrested 7,911 persons for motor vehicle theft. When compared with 2005, the number of motor vehicle theft arrests decreased 6.1 percent. Of the motor vehicle theft arrestees in 2006, 15 percent were juveniles (16 and under); 82 percent were male; 72 percent were White; 28 percent were Black; 69 percent were not Hispanic and 31 percent were Hispanic. The age group with the largest number of motor vehicle theft arrests was the 15-to-19 year-old group.
**A R S O N**

**DEFINITION**

For Uniform Crime Reporting purposes, arson is defined as any willful or malicious burning or attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a house, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc.

Only fires determined through investigation to have been willfully or maliciously set are classified as arsons. Fires of suspicious or unknown origins are excluded from data collection.

**ANALYSIS**

**Volume**

The reported number of arsons committed in Texas in 2006 was 6,549. The volume of arson offenses decreased 3.7 percent in comparison with 2005. Because the U.S. Congress did not mandate arson to be an Index Crime until 1979, information on offenses before that year is incomplete.

**Rate**

The 2006 arson rate for Texas was 27.8 arsons for every 100,000 persons. The change in the arson rate from 2005 was a decrease of 6.4 percent.

**Nature**

Mobile property constituted 37 percent of the reported arsons, but only 22 percent of the value lost. Structures comprised some 48 percent of the reported incidents and 77 percent of the property value lost to arson. The ‘other’ category (crops, timber, fences, signs, etc.) formed the remaining 15 percent of the arsons and 1 percent of the value lost.

Residential property was involved in 68 percent of the structural arsons in 2006, with 73 percent of such arsons directed at single occupancy dwellings. Of all targeted structural property, 23
percent of the properties were uninhabited at the time the arson occurred. Motor vehicles composed 97 percent of all mobile property at which arsons were directed.

In 2006, arson victims suffered losses totaling $93,829,640. This total value loss represents a 5.2 percent decrease when compared with 2005 total arson losses. The average dollar loss per arson was $14,327 - down from $14,556 in 2005. Other commercial property was the classification with the highest average loss per offense, $58,245. Single occupancy residences showed an average loss of $21,075, motor vehicle arsons averaged a loss of $8,340 and the “other” group (crops, timber, fences, signs, etc.) had the lowest average loss of $845.

**Clearance Rate**

Statewide, Texas law enforcement agencies were successful in clearing or solving by arrest 18 percent of all arsons that were reported. Of the various property classifications, Community/Public had the highest clearance rates (40 percent). Other mobile property showed the lowest clearance rate (6 percent) of any property class.

Of all arson clearances, 40 percent involved persons under the age of eighteen. Persons in this age group accounted for 75 percent of the Community/Public structure arson clearances, 73 percent of all other structure category clearances and 48 percent of the total Other Residential clearances.

**Persons Arrested**

Texas law enforcement agencies arrested 830 persons for the crime of arson in 2006. When compared with the previous year, the number of persons arrested for arson increased 10.5 percent. Of the persons arrested for arson in 2006, 36 percent were juveniles (16 and under); 82 percent were male; 80 percent were White; 20 percent were Black; 69 percent were not Hispanic and 31 percent were Hispanic. The age group that contained the highest number of arson arrestees was the 15-to-19 year old group.